

FLST WS 2008/2009 – Semantics – Exercise sheet 1

Manfred Pinkal

1. Which of the following expressions are well-formed formulae? (p, q, r are propositional or sentence constants)

- a. $\neg(\neg p \vee q)$
- b. $p \vee (q)$
- c. $p \vee q$
- d. $\neg(q)$
- e. $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)))$
- f. $(p \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q)))$
- g. $(p \wedge (q \wedge r))$
- h. $(p \wedge q \wedge r)$

2. Formalise the following sentences in propositional logic! (Translate basic sentences like “it rains” or “Steve comes home late” to propositional constants p, q, r, \dots)

- a. When it rains, it pours.
- b. Sam wants a dog, but Alice prefers cats.
- c. I will make the dishes if you cook.
- d. I will make the dishes only if you cook
- e. Marsha won't go out with John unless he shaves off his beard and stops drinking.
- f. The stock market advances when public confidence in the economy is rising.
- g. John and Bill are going to the movies, but not Tom.
- h. If Mary hasn't got lost or had an accident, she will be here in 5 minutes.

3. Check with the truth-table method, whether the following formulae are logically valid, contradictory, or contingent (i.e. neither valid nor contradictory)!

- a. $((p \vee \neg q) \wedge q)$
- b. $((p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee r))$
- c. $(\neg p \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow q))$

4. Check with the truth-table method whether entailment holds in the following cases:

- d. $\{(p \rightarrow \neg q), (r \rightarrow q), (\neg r \rightarrow q)\} \models \neg p?$
- e. $\{(p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)), ((q \vee r) \rightarrow s)\} \models (p \rightarrow s)?$
- f. $\{(p \rightarrow (q \vee r)), ((q \leftrightarrow s))\} \models (p \rightarrow r)?$

5. Translate the following sentences to FOL.

- a. John admires someone.
- b. John admires himself.
- c. Bill and Mary help each other.
- d. A student reads an interesting book
- e. Peter reads only interesting books.
- f. No one is loved by everyone.

- g. All but one student passed (the exam).
- h. Only Peter flunked.
- i. Exactly one student flunked.

5. Are the following formulae logically valid, contradictory, or contingent?

- a. $\exists x(F(x) \wedge \neg F(x))$
- b. $(\exists x F(x) \wedge \exists x \neg F(x))$
- c. $(\exists x F(x) \vee \exists x \neg F(x))$
- d. $(\forall x F(x) \vee \forall x \neg F(x))$
- e. $\forall x(F(x) \vee \neg F(x))$
- f. $(\forall x F(x) \rightarrow \exists x F(x))$